PTC/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/807,474 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL 3/22/2004 First Named Inventor SAMRA et al.

Ų.a.	馬			Art Unit		2141	171			
	be used for all corres	nandanna aftar initia	(filling)	Examiner Nam	е	Kenneth R.	Coulter			
			15	Attorney Docke	t Number	020699-00	4620119			
Tot	al Number of Pages in	This Submission	13			020035-00	102000			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
	Fee Transmittal F	•		Drawing(s) Licensing-related	Papers			Appeal	Ilowance Communication to TC Communication to Board eals and Interferences	
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			Rem	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD arks apply any appropriate charges or credits			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Postcard to Deposit Account No. 50-3000			
		SIGN	TURE	OF APPLICAN	NT, ATTO	RNEY, C	R AG	ENT		
Firm N		intellectual Prope	rty Law G	Group, PC						
Signa	ture V		1/							
Printe	d name Brian I	N. Young			_					
Date June 1, 2007						No. 48,602				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on										
	ite shown below:	Caso mail in all c			- HOUNTED II	o. i oleika, i		. 1700,7	**************************************	
Signa	ture	1		4					·	
Tunar	or printed name	Megan A. Gods	BY	1				Date	June 1, 2007	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Typed or printed name

Appl. No. 10/807,474 Continuation of 09/929,526

Client Ref.: 50R4671

Docket No.: 020699-004620U\$

Date: June 1, 2007

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signed:

Appl. No.

10/807,474

Applicant

SAMRA, et al.

Filed

3/22/2004

Title

COLLABORATIVE COMPUTER-BASED PRODUCTION

SYSTEM INCLUDING ANNOTATION, VERSIONING AND

REMOTE INTERACTION

TC/A.U.

2141

Examiner

COULTER, Kenneth R.

Docket No.

020699-004620US (50R4671.02)

Conf. No.

3062

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Notification of non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed May 16, 2007, Applicant respectfully resubmits this corrected Appeal Brief.

APPEAL BRIEF

Appl. No. 10/807,474 Continuation of 09/929,526 Client Ref.: 50R4671 Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Table of Contents

Real Party in Interest	Page 3
Related Appeals and Interference	Page 4
Status of Claims	Page 5
Status of Amendments	Page 6
Summary of Claimed Subject Matter	Page 7
Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	Page 8
Argument	Page 9-12
Claims Appendix	Page 13-15
Evidence Appendix	Page 16
Related Proceedings Appendix	Page 17

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Real Party in Interest

The Real Party in Interest is SONY Corporation and SONY Electronics, Inc., the assignee of record.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Related Appeals and Interference

There are no related appeals and interferences.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Status of Claims

Claims 1-8 and 14-22 are pending in the present application. Claims 9-13 are canceled, claims 1-8 and 14-22 are on appeal.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Status of Amendments

Applicants have filed an amendment with this appeal brief. The amendment is to claim 18. The amendment has not been acted upon by the examiner.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

Annotation information may be stored in association with selected frames in a plurality of frames of a production. See Specification, page 5, par. 31. An annotation marker may be displayed in a frame when a frame is displayed during playback of the plurality of frames. See Fig. 1A and specification, page 5, par. 32 and 32.

The annotation markers show a visual relationship of the annotation information's relevance to the frame. For example, the annotation marker may tell the user that the annotation is intended to relate to the frame underlying the annotation marker only or that the associated annotation may be most relevant to the underlying frame. Also, the annotation marker may be a dot with a forward-pointing arrow to indicate that the annotation is pertinent to this frame and onward through the plurality of frames. Other visual relationships may also be appreciated. See specification, page 5, par. 33.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

- 1. Whether claim 18 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §112.
- 2. Whether claims 1-8 and 14-22 are unpatentable over Matsuzawa et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,085,185, hereinaster "Matsuzawa") under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Argument

Section 112 Rejections

Claim 18

In an amendment after final, Applicants have amended claim 18 to read "an action". Applicants submit that claim 18, as amended, now complies with the requirements of Section 112.

Section 102 Rejections

Claims 1 and 19

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Matsuzawa. Applicants submit that Matsuzawa fails to disclose or suggest that an annotation marker is displayed with the frame when the frame is displayed during playback of the plurality of frames in the production, the annotation marker showing a visual relationship of the annotation information's relevance to the frame.

The final rejection stated that Matsuzawa discloses that a user puts an annotation to a specific range of a medium and the system registers an annotation object comprising the object ID of the target medium, specific range, and annotation information in a table. Figs. 4-5 in Matsuzawa as referenced in the final rejection show a table that is storing the annotation information. This table may be stored and may be later viewed. However, applicants submit this does not disclose or suggest that the annotation markers are displayed with the frame when the frame is displayed during playback of the plurality of frames in the production. Matsuzawa is directed towards the retrieval of recording material without requiring manual steps. See Matsuzawa, col. 2, lines 22-27. What is shown in Figs. 4-5 is a table that is created to summarize the annotation information. This table is not the production of frames nor is it displayed during playback of the plurality of frames.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

The table may include a range of values for frames. However, this table is not shown during playback of the plurality of frames. Rather, Matsuzawa might retrieve the range of frames and play them back. As shown in Fig. 4, the table shows a range in columns 703 and 704. However, this table is not used when playback of a production is performed.

Also, Fig. 5 shows a thumbnail in the table. However, this thumbnail is extracted from the video. *See Matsuzawa*, Fig. 17. Thus, the annotation information is not displayed during playback of the production.

Also, Matsuzawa does not disclose or suggest the annotation marker shows a visual relationship of the annotation information's relevance to the frame. Fig. 5 and 17 are cited in the final office action as disclosing this element. Fig. 5 in Matsuzawa shows a table and fig. 17 shows an extraction process. There is no visual information that shows the relevance to the frame in Figs. 5 and 17. Fig. 5 shows an annotation management table. The table does not include annotation information that would show a visual relationship when displayed with the frame during playback of the frame. In fact, the annotation information shown in the table is not displayed with the thumbnail during playback. Even if the table is considered playback of the thumbnail (it is not), the information does not show a visual relationship of the annotation information's relevance to the frame. As described in the specification in paragraphs 31-34, the annotation marker tells a user how the annotation information is intended to relate to the frame underlying the annotation marker displayed. For example, in embodiments of the present invention, the annotation marker may indicate that the annotation information is only relevant to the underlying frame. Also, the annotation marker may indicate that the annotation information may be relevant to the underlying frame and also additional frames, such as frames subsequent to the underlying frame. In one embodiment, the visual information may be a dot with a forward-pointing arrow to indicate that the annotation is pertinent to this frame and onward through the plurality of frames. Other visual relationships may also be appreciated. Accordingly, Matsuzawa does not disclose or suggest a visual relationship as claimed.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Applicants submit that claim 19 should be allowable for at least a similar rationale as discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 14

Matsuzawa does not disclose or suggest that the annotation marker is stored as part of the frame in the production. The final rejection states that Figs. 1-6 and col. 4, lines 30-31 disclose this element. Figs. 1-6 show tables that may be stored in media. However, applicants submit that this does not disclose or suggest that the annotation marker is stored as part of the frame in the production. Rather, these tables are separate from the frames of the production. Also, these tables are used to retrieve parts of the production and are not part of the production. See Matsuzawa, col. 2, lines 22-27. Thus the annotation information is not stored as part of the frame in the production. Also, in Fig. 5, a thumbnail may be stored in the table. However, this is not storing an annotation marker as part of the frame in the production. As claimed, the annotation information is part of the information that makes up the frame.

Claim 15

Matsuzawa does not disclose or suggest that the annotation marker is displayed in the frame being displayed. The rejection states that Figs. 2-6 and 17 disclose this element. Figs. 2-6 of Matsuzawa show tables that include annotation information. Fig. 17 is a flow chart for extracting thumbnails. Nowhere in these figures is it disclosed or suggested that the annotation marker is displayed in the frame being displayed. As shown in Fig. 5, the thumbnail is shown in a column 706. None of the annotation information in the table is displayed in the thumbnail. If the thumbnail was a picture, then the annotation information would be displayed in the picture. Matsuzawa does not disclose or suggest this.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Claims 2-8 and 14-18 and 20-22

Applicants submit that claims 2-8 and 14-18 and 20-22 depend from claims 1 and 19 and thus derive patentability at least therefrom.

Respectfully submitted,

TRELLIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PC

Brian N. Young

Reg. No. 48,602

Tel.: 650-842-0300

Docket No.: 020699-004620US



Claims Appendix

1. (Previously presented) A method for annotating an item in a user interface of a media production system, the method comprising

accepting signals from a user input device to select a frame in a plurality of frames of a production being processed by the media production system;

creating annotation information and an annotation marker; and storing the annotation information in association with the selected frame of the production, wherein the annotation marker is displayed with the frame when the frame is displayed during playback of the plurality of frames in the production, the annotation marker showing a visual relationship of the annotation information's relevance to the frame.

- 2. (original) The method of Claim 1, further comprising accepting signals form a user input device to create the annotation information.
- 3. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein the annotation information includes text information.
- 4. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein the annotation information includes capture of drawing information.
- 5. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein the annotation information includes audio information.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

6. (original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the annotation information is automatically generated by a process executing on a digital system.

7. (original) The method of Claim 6, further comprising wherein the annotation information is automatically generated at a predetermined time.

8. (original) The method of Claim 6, further comprising wherein the annotation information is automatically generated upon the occurrence a predetermined event.

9-13. (Canceled)

- 14. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the annotation marker is stored as part of the frame in the production.
- 15. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the annotation marker is displayed in the frame being displayed.
- 16. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the annotation marker indicates one or more additional frames that are relevant to the annotation information.
- 17. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the annotation marker is associated with an action that is performed when the annotation marker is selected.
- 18. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the action comprises displaying the annotation information.

Docket No.: 020699-004620US

19. (Previously presented) A method for displaying a production in a user interface of a media production system, the method comprising

during playback of the production, wherein the production includes a plurality of frames, determining when an annotation marker is associated with a frame in the plurality of frames;

displaying the frame and the annotation marker, wherein the annotation marker shows a visual relationship of annotation information's relevance to the frame; receiving an input selecting the annotation marker; and performing an action with the annotation information in response to the selecting of the annotation marker.

- 20. (Previously presented) The method of claim 19, wherein the action comprises displaying the annotation information.
- 21. (Previously presented) The method of claim 19, wherein the annotation marker indicates one or more additional frames that are relevant to the annotation marker.
- 22. (Previously presented) The method of claim 19, wherein the annotation marker is displayed in the frame being displayed.

Client Ref.: 50R4671 Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Evidence Appendix

NONE

Appl. No. 10/807,474 Continuation of 09/929,526 Client Ref.: 50R4671 Docket No.: 020699-004620US

Related Proceedings Appendix

NONE